Hydrodynamic equilibrium of a static star in the presence of a cosmological constant in $2+1$–dimensions

Alberto A. Garcia–Diaz*

Departamento de Física,
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,
Apdo. Postal 14-740,
07000 México DF, México,
and
Departamento de Física,
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana–Iztapalapa,
A.P. 55–534, México D.F. 09340, México.

(Dated: December 19, 2014)

Under the hydrodynamic equilibrium Buchdahl's conditions on the behavior of the density and the pressure, for regular fluid static circularly symmetric star in $(2+1)$–dimensions in the presence of a cosmological constant, is established that there are no bounds from below on the pressure and also on the mass, except for their positiveness. The metric for a constant density distribution is derived and its matching with the external static solution with a negative cosmological constant is accomplished. Some mistakes of previous works on the topic are pointed out.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.50.+h

arXiv:1412.5620v1 [gr-qc] 17 Dec 2014

Contents

I. Introduction 2

II. Static circularly symmetric perfect fluid $2+1$–solution with Λ 2
A. Cotton tensor types 4

III. Cruz–Zanelli existence of hydrostatic equilibrium for $\Lambda \leq 0$ 4

IV. Positiveness of the hydrostatic pressure 5

V. Buchdahl's theorem in $2+1$ hydrostatics 5

VI. Static star with constant density μ_0 and $\Lambda = -1/l^2 \leq 0$ 6

VII. Acknowledgments 7

References 7

*aagarcia@fis.cinvestav.mx

I. INTRODUCTION

This work is devoted to the study of the equilibrium for static perfect fluid solutions with cosmological constant generalizing in this manner the Cruz–Zanelli [2] analysis.
The main objective of this contribution is to establish that in $2+1$–dimensions there is no room for bounds of the mass distribution.

Theorem
If a perfect fluid distribution fulfills the conditions:

- it is described by a one-parameter state equation $p = p(\mu)$,
- the density is positive, $\mu > 0$, and monotonically decreasing, $\frac{d\mu}{dr} < 0$,
- it is microscopically stable, $\frac{dp}{d\mu} \geq 0 \rightarrow \frac{dp}{dr} \leq 0$,

then, in $2+1$–dimensions, there is *not* a bound on the mass to the radius ratio.

II. STATIC CIRCULARLY SYMMETRIC PERFECT FLUID $2+1$–SOLUTION WITH Λ

This section is devoted to the search of solution to the Einstein's equations for a static $2+1$ metric in curvature coordinates

$$g = -\mathrm{e}^{2\,\nu(r)}dt^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2\,\lambda(r)}\,dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2. \tag{2.1}$$

for a perfect fluid in the presence of a cosmological constant. The fluid is described by the energy–momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = (p(r) + \mu(r))u_\mu u_\nu + p(r)\,g_{\mu\nu}, u_\alpha = \mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)}\delta^t_\alpha$.

The Einstein equations for a perfect fluid with Λ can be given as

$$\begin{aligned} E^\beta{}_\alpha &:= R^\beta{}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\delta^\beta{}_\alpha R - \kappa T^\beta{}_\alpha + \Lambda\delta^\beta{}_\alpha, \\ T^\beta{}_\alpha &= -\rho(r)\delta^\beta{}_t\delta^t{}_\alpha + p(r)\,\delta^\beta{}_r\delta^r{}_\alpha + p(r)\,\delta^\beta{}_\theta\delta^\theta{}_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Explicitly, for the metric (2.1), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} E^1_1 = 0 : \frac{d}{dr}\lambda = {} & r\,(\kappa\,\mu + \Lambda)\mathrm{e}^{2\,\lambda}, \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-2\,\lambda(r)} = C_0 - 2\int^r (\kappa\mu + \Lambda)\,r\,dr = C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\,m\,(r)\,, \\ m\,(r) := {} & 2\pi\int^r r\,(\mu + \Lambda/\kappa)\,dr = \int^r 2\pi\,r\,\mu\,dr + \frac{\pi}{\kappa}\Lambda\,r^2 =: M(r) + \frac{\pi}{\kappa}\Lambda\,r^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3a}$$

$$E^2_2 = 0 : EQ_{\nu 1} := \frac{d\nu}{dr} - r\mathrm{e}^{2\,\lambda(r)}\,(\kappa\,p - \Lambda) = 0 \;\rightarrow \nu = \int^r \frac{(\kappa p - \Lambda)\;r\,dr}{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\,m\,(r)}, \tag{2.3b}$$

$$E^3_3 = 0 : EQ_{\nu\,2} := \frac{d^2}{dr^2}\nu + \left(\frac{d}{dr}\nu\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d}{dr}\nu\right)\frac{d}{dr}\lambda + (\Lambda - \kappa\,p)\,\mathrm{e}^{2\,\lambda} = 0. \tag{2.3c}$$

The substitution of the derivative $\frac{d\nu}{dr}$ from (2.3b) into (2.3c) yields the same equation arising from the energy–momentum conservation law $T^{\alpha\beta};\beta = 0$, namely the Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff equation:

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\left(\mu + p\right)\frac{d\nu}{dr} = -\mathrm{e}^{2\,\lambda} r\left(\kappa\, p - \Lambda\right)\left(\mu + p\right) \rightarrow \frac{d\,p}{dr} = -\frac{r\left(\kappa\, p - \Lambda\right)\left(\mu + p\right)}{C_0 - \kappa\, M\left(r\right)/\pi - \Lambda\, r^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

An integral quantity arises from the combination of (2.3b) and (2.3c), $(EQ_{\nu\,2} - EQ_{\nu\,1}/r)\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)}$, namely

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}}{r}\frac{d\mathrm{e}^{\nu}}{dr}\right) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, the substitution of $p(r)$ from (2.3b) into (2.3c) gives rise to

$$\frac{d^2\nu}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{d\nu}{dr}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d\nu}{dr}\right)\frac{d\lambda}{dr} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{d\nu}{dr} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

which is a first order equation for $N(r) := \frac{d\nu}{dr}$, which can be written in a very simple form by introducing the functions

$$\xi(r) := r\,\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)},\; Z := N/\xi, \quad (2.7)$$

namely

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{N}{\xi}\right) + (\frac{N}{\xi})^2\xi = 0 \rightarrow dZ^{-1} = \xi\, dr \rightarrow Z^{-1} = C_1 + \int_0^r \xi(r)dr. \quad (2.8)$$

The equation for ν becomes

$$d\nu = Z\xi\, dr = \frac{\xi\, dr}{C_1 + \int_0^r \xi(r)dr} \rightarrow \nu(r) = \ln\left[C_1 + \int_0^r \xi(r)dr\right] + \ln C_2/2,$$
$$\nu\left(r\right) = \ln\left(\int_0^r \mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)} r dr + C_1\right) + \ln C_2/2, \quad (2.9)$$

the const $C_2/2 \rightarrow 1$ by scaling the time coordinate.
Substituting this integral in (2.3b) one obtains the pressure

$$p\left(r\right) = \frac{1}{\kappa\,\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)}\left(\int_0^r \mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)} r dr + C_1\right)} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} \quad (2.10)$$

Summarizing, the structural functions can be given as

$$\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)} = 1/\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\int_0^r \left(2\pi\,\mu(\tilde{r})\,\tilde{r}\,d\tilde{r}\right) - \Lambda\, r^2},$$
$$\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = C_1 + \int_0^r [\,r'\,dr'/\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\int_0^{r'} \left(2\pi\,\mu(\tilde{r})\,\tilde{r}\,d\tilde{r}\right) - \Lambda\, r^2}]. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, the pressure results in

$$\kappa\, p\,(r) = \frac{\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\int_0^r (2\pi\,\mu(\tilde{r})\,\tilde{r}\,d\tilde{r}) - \Lambda\, r^2}}{C_1 + \int_0^r [\,r'\,dr'/\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\int_0^{r'} (2\pi\,\mu(\tilde{r})\,\tilde{r}\,d\tilde{r}) - \Lambda\, r^2}]} + \Lambda. \tag{2.12}$$

This relation determines the pressure p through the energy density μ in a functional manner, if p were expressed by a state equation of the form $p = p(\mu)$, the equation (2.12) gives rise to an integral differential equation for the energy as function of the variable r.

The pressure has to vanish at boundary circumference r_b of the circle, $p(r_b) = 0$, where the mass function $M(r)$ determines the total mass of the fluid $M(r_b)$ on the circle. Because the metric signature has to be preserved throughout the whole space–time, the positiveness of g_{rr} imposes an upper bound on the value of the total mass, namely

$$M(r_b) \leq \frac{\pi}{\kappa}(C_0 - \Lambda\, r_b^2). \tag{2.13}$$

A. Cotton tensor types

The Cotton tensor for this perfect fluid occurs to be

$$C^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -\frac{\kappa}{4}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}\,\frac{d\mu(r)}{dr}(r\,\mathrm{e}^{-\nu}\delta^{\mu}_{t}\delta^{\theta}_{\nu} - \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\nu}}{r}\delta^{\mu}_{\theta}\delta^{t}_{\nu}). \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, the solution with constant density μ_0 is conformally flat, vanishing Cotton tensor. Moreover, the search for its eigenvectors yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 = 0; \mathbf{V}1 &= [0, V^2, 0],\ V1_{\mu} = V^2 g_{rr}\delta^{r}_{\mu},\ V1^{\mu}V1_{\mu} = (V^2)^2 g_{rr},\ \mathbf{V}1 = \mathbf{S}1,\\
\lambda_2 = i\frac{\kappa}{4}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}\,\frac{d\mu(r)}{dr}; \mathbf{V}2 &= [V^1, 0, V^3 = -\frac{i\mathrm{e}^{\nu}V^1}{r}],\ \mathbf{V}2 = \mathbf{Z},\\
\lambda_3 = -i\frac{\kappa}{4}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}\,\frac{d\mu(r)}{dr}; \mathbf{V}3 &= [V^1, 0, V^3 = \frac{i\mathrm{e}^{\nu}V^1}{r}],\ \mathbf{V}3 = \bar{\mathbf{Z}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

consequently the corresponding tensor type is

$$\text{Type}: \{S, Z, \bar{Z}\}.$$

III. CRUZ–ZANELLI EXISTENCE OF HYDROSTATIC EQUILIBRIUM FOR $\Lambda \leq 0$

In the work [2] it is established that: A perfect fluid in hydrostatic equilibrium,

$$(\mu(r \leq r_b) > 0,\ p(r \leq r_b) > 0,\ \frac{dp}{dr}|_{r \leq r_b} \text{ pressure monotonically decreasing}),$$

is only possible for $\Lambda \leq 0$.
The condition on Λ follows from the energy–momentum conservation equation (2.4)

$$\frac{d}{dr}p\,(r) = -\frac{r\ (\kappa\, p - \Lambda)\,(\mu + p)}{C_0 - \kappa\, M\,(r)\,/\pi - \Lambda\, r^2},$$

which evaluated at the boundary yields

$$\frac{dp}{dr}|_{r=r_b} = \frac{r_b\Lambda}{C_0 - \Lambda\, r_b^2 - \kappa\, M\,(r_b)\,/\pi}\mu(r_b),$$

which for $\mu(r) \geq 0$, and $M(r_b)$ fulfilling (2.13) is non–positive only if $\Lambda \leq 0$.
Moreover, since for $\Lambda \leq 0$ the right–hand side of

$$\frac{d}{dr}p\,(r) = -\,r(\kappa\, p - \Lambda)\,(p + \mu)\,g_{rr}$$

is always negative, then $p\,(r)$ is a decreasing function such that

$$p\,(r = 0) = p_c > p\,(r_b) = 0.$$

IV. POSITIVENESS OF THE HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE

Form (2.3a) one has

$$\frac{dM}{dr} = 2\pi\, r\, \mu(r)$$

which combined with (2.3c) gives

$$\frac{d}{dr}\,(p - A\, M(r)) = -(\kappa\, p + \frac{1}{l^2})(p + \mu(r))g_{rr} - 2\, A\pi\, r\, \mu(r) \tag{4.1}$$

since the right–hand side of this equation, for positive $A = \text{const} > 0$, is always negative, then

$$\mathrm{R}(r) = p - A\, M(r)$$

is monotonically decreasing, and $\mathrm{R}(0) > \mathrm{R}(r_b) \rightarrow p_c - A\, M(0) > -A\, M$, and consequently $p_c > -A\,(M - M(0))$, which means that the hydrostatic pressure cannot be negative.

Since the right–hand side of (4.1) for $A = 0$ is always negative, then $p\,(r)$ is a monotonically decreasing function, and consequently $p\,(r = 0) = p_c > p\,(r_b) = 0 \rightarrow p_c > 0$.

In no way for the choice of $A = -(2\,\pi\, l^2\, C_0)^{-1} < 0$, equivalent to the one done in CZ [2] (13), one could establish the bound [2]CZ (10).

V. BUCHDAHL'S THEOREM IN $2+1$ HYDROSTATICS

If a perfect fluid distribution fulfills the conditions:

1. it is described by a one-parameter state equation $p = p(\mu)$,
2. the density is positive $\mu > 0$ and monotonically decreasing $\frac{d\mu}{dr} < 0$,
3. it is microscopically stable, $\frac{dp}{d\mu} \geq 0$, $(\frac{dp}{dr} \leq 0)$,

then there is not a bound on the density.

The Lemma on no existence of a bound for the density is based on Einstein equations (2.3b) and (2.3c), which yield

$$(EQ_{\nu\,2} - EQ_{\nu\,1}/r)\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\,m(r)}}\frac{d}{dr}[\frac{1}{r}\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}\,m(r)}\frac{d}{dr}\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)}] = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

because $EQ_{\nu\,1} = 0 = EQ_{\nu\,2}$, therefore

$$[\frac{1}{r}\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r)}\frac{d}{dr}\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)}] = C_\nu = \text{const.},\, d\,\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = C_\nu\frac{\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r)}}{r}\,dr = C_\nu\,\xi(r)\,dr,$$
$$\to \mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = C_\nu\left(C_1 + \int_0^r r\,\mathrm{e}^{\lambda(r)}dr\right),\, (C_\nu \to 1,\, t \to t/\sqrt{C_\nu}), \tag{5.2}$$

which are no others than the equations for ν and its differential given in (2.8). Thus one cannot establish a condition on the energy density in contrast with the statement of [2] CZ (15), which is based on a miss–interpretation of equations [2] CZ (A4) and [2] CZ (A5).

The equation CZ [2] (A1):

$$\frac{d}{dr}[\frac{d\nu}{dr}(\frac{2\pi C_0}{\kappa} - 2m(r))/r] + [\frac{d\nu}{dr}(\frac{2\pi C_0}{\kappa} - 2m(r))/r + \frac{d\,m}{dr}]\frac{d\nu}{dr} = 0.$$

is equivalent to (5.1), which by introducing $\gamma = \int_0^r (\frac{2\pi C_0}{\kappa} - 2m(r))^{-1/2}\,r\,d\,r$ can be written as

$$\frac{d^2}{d\gamma^2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = 0 \to \frac{d}{d\gamma}\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = C_\nu = \text{const.}, \to \mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = C_\nu\gamma + \mathrm{e}^{\nu(0)} \neq \mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)} = \gamma\frac{d\mathrm{e}^{\nu(r)}}{d\gamma} + \mathrm{e}^{\nu(0)}\,\text{(A5)}.$$

The equation [2] CZ (A5) is the source of the mistake.

VI. STATIC STAR WITH CONSTANT DENSITY μ_0 AND $\Lambda = -1/l^2 \leq 0$

The static star with uniform density μ_0 is characterized by mass and pressure given respectively as:

$$M(r) = \pi\,\mu_0\,r^2, m(r) = \pi\,\mu_0\,r^2 + \frac{\pi}{\kappa}\Lambda\,r^2$$
$$p(r) = \mu_0\frac{\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r)} - \sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)}}{-\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r)} - \frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\mu_0\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)}}. \tag{6.1}$$

A star of uniform density in hydrostatic equilibrium ($\Lambda = -1/l^2$) possesses central mass and pressure of the form

$$M(0) = 0, m(0) = 0,$$
$$p_c = \mu_0\frac{\sqrt{C_0} - \sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)}}{-\sqrt{C_0} + \kappa\,l^2\mu_0\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)}}, \tag{6.2}$$

at the boundary $M(r_b) = \pi\, r_b^2 \mu_0 = \mu_0\, S_{\odot}, p(r_b) = 0$, while for $r_b = \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{\kappa\,\mu_0}}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\kappa\, l^2\, \mu_0^2}}$ the pressure becomes infinity, $p \to \infty$, and the mass equates to $M = \frac{\kappa\, C_0}{\pi}(1+\frac{1}{\kappa\, l^2\, \mu_0})$, $(m = \frac{\kappa\, C_0}{\pi}(1-\frac{1}{\kappa^2\, l^4\, \mu_0^2}))$.

The evaluation of $\mathrm{e}^{2\nu}$ or the metric component $g_{tt} = -\mathrm{e}^{2\nu}$ yields

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\nu} = \left(\frac{\kappa\,\mu_0\, l^2\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)} - \sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r)}}{\kappa\,\mu_0\, l^2 - 1}\right)^2, \tag{6.3}$$

which is a slightly different expression compared with the one of CZ [2](23) which is given with an extra multiplicative factor $(r^2/l^2 - M_0)/\sqrt{C_0 - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}m(r_b)}$.

The external solution to which the uniform fluid solution can be matched is the static anti–de Sitter metric with parameter M_0 known also as the static BTZ solution

$$g = -(-M_0 + \frac{r^2}{l^2})dt^2 + dr^2/(-M_0 + \frac{r^2}{l^2}) + r^2 d\phi^2 \tag{6.4}$$

the continuity at the boundary r_b of the metric for the fluid is achieved

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\nu(r_b)} = \mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda(r_b)} = C_0 + \frac{r_b^2}{l^2} - \frac{\kappa}{\pi}M = -M_0 + \frac{r_b^2}{l^2} \to \kappa = \pi,\ M(r_b) = M = M_0 - C_0. \tag{6.5}$$

The static perfect fluid solution with $\Lambda = -1/l^2$ could exhibit an event horizon at $r_h = \frac{C\, l^2}{\kappa\,\mu_0\, l^2 - 1}$.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been carried out during a sabbatical year at the Physics Department–UAMI, and has been partially supported by Grant CONACyT 178346.

[1] H.A. Buchdahl, "General relativistic fluid spheres," *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 1027 (1959).

[2] N. Cruz and J. Zanelli, " Stellar equilibrium in $2+1$ dimensions," *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 975 (1995).